

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: C

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Α	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/818.71	7 03/14/	97 WILSON		D	
_	PM21/0415 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT & KRAUS			. –		EXAMINER
				•	BÁTSC	ON. V
	SUITE 180 1300 NORT	u H SEVENTEE	MTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ARLINGTON		NTTT OTTNESS T		3616	4
					DATE MAILED:	04/15/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 08/818,717

Applicant(s)

DAVID E. WILSON

# Office Action Summary

Examiner

**VICTOR BATSON** 

Group Art Unit 3616



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-41	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1, 2, 8, 12, 14, 15, 21, 25, 27-30, and 39-41	is/are rejected.
X Claim(s) 3-7, 9-11, 13, 16-20, 22-24, 26, and 31-38	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	
	o by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved.  er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). e priority documents have been  er  rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
<ul> <li>☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> <li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	2
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE I	FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3616

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Inventor

Clarification as to the inventor is requested. It appears from the "Weighing seed 'on-the-go'" article (page 8 col 2) that "Harold 'Butch' Wilson" is a joint inventor. If Harold Wilson is an actual inventor, then a Correction of Inventorship is required (see MPEP § 201.03).

#### Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP \$608.02(g)\$.

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 16 line 25, should "frame" (second occurrence) be changed to "support"? On page 19 lines 24 & 30, & page 20 line 3 it appears that bearing support(s) "66" should be changed to bearing support(s) "68". On page 21 line 20, it appears that "vertical piece 106" should be changed to "vertical piece 100 (see page 21 line 19). On page 23, it appears that "horizontal rod 86" should be changed to "horizontal rod 116" (see page 23 line 14 & figure 4). On page 25 line 11, it appears that "142" should be changed to "144" as shown in figure 11. On

Serial Number: 08/818717

Art Unit: 3616

Page 3

page 39 (Abstract) line 4, "an improved" should be replaced with "a". Appropriate correction is required.

#### // Title

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title lacks direction to the weight sensing device for sensing the weight of the seed grain in the hopper as claimed. Additionally, "Improved" should be deleted from the title. The following title is suggested: "Grain Drill With Weight Sensing Device For Sensing The Weight Of Seed Grain In A Hopper".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 8,12,21,25,28,41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 8 line 4, "the spaced apart positions" lacks proper antecedent basis and should probably be changed to "the spaced apart locations" (see claim 1 line 8). In claim 28 line 5 & claim 41 line 5, "the grain drill part" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3616

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1,2,14,15,27,29,30,39,40 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Von Muenster "Drill 'Weigh Scale' For Planting Beans".

Von Muenster discloses a grain drill comprising a frame and hopper (inherently provided on a Deere 750 drill), with a support joined to opposed sides of the frame and to spaced apart locations of the hopper (each corner of the tank), with weight sensing devices which sense a weight of seed grain in the hopper transferred through the support to the frame and provides a display coupled to the output for displaying the sensed weight of the seed grain in the hopper.

Concerning claim 2, Von Muenster discloses making the mounting brackets from square steel tubing and channel iron that are mounted to each corner of the tank and to the drill frame. Therefore, the use of support brackets formed from square steel tubing alone, would inherently provide first and second vertical parts (two of the sides extending a given amount vertically) and

Art Unit: 3616

a horizontal part joined to the vertical parts (the other two sides extending a given amount horizontally), no matter how the square tubing was positioned.

Concerning claims 14,15,39 Von Muenster discloses the spaced apart locations being located under the four corners of the hopper.

Concerning claims 27 & 40 the weight bearing support of Von Muenster does not appear to extend in a direction along a width of the grain drill beyond a width of a portion of the frame as shown in the grain drill figure on page 3.

Concerning claims 29,30,39,40 the claimed method steps would be inherent in modifying a grain drill as disclosed by Von Muenster.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3-7,9-11,13,16-20,22-24,26,27,31-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 8,12,21,25,28,41 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3616

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record discloses various weighing devices.

Inquiries

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Victor Batson whose telephone number is (703) 305-6356. The examiner can be normally reached Monday through Friday (except Wednesday) from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

VB April 10, 1998

VICTOR BATSON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3500
Au 3016

What Stan